

<https://reitschuster.de/post/rechtsanwalt-erstattet-strafanzeige-gegen-karl-lauterbach/>

translation by CoronaCases

## Lawyer files criminal complaint against Karl Lauterbach

Accusation: murder, manslaughter and grievous bodily harm

January 24<sup>th</sup> 2023



By Kai Rebmann

The air is getting thinner for Karl Lauterbach (SPD). After the suspicion of cronyism due to the commissioning of a party-affiliated advertising agency seems to be substantiated, the coalition partner in the person of FDP politicians Wolfgang Kubicki and Gerald Ullrich is beginning to distance himself from the Federal Minister of Health. The first voices from the opposition are calling for the public prosecutor in the affair of alleged "nepotism by SPD comrades" (Left Party politician Sören Pellmann). [As outrageous as these accusations may be](#), they could soon become by far the least of the problems for Karl Lauterbach and some of his staff. In connection with the propagation of the "side-effect-free vaccination", which will continue at least until December 2022, there are now even suspicions of murder, manslaughter, grievous bodily harm resulting in death and numerous other criminal offences. At least in the eyes of the lawyer Wilfried Schmitz. He has therefore filed a complaint with the public prosecutor's office in Berlin against Karl Lauterbach and "all other employees of the Federal Ministry of Health who may still be involved in the crime".

The ball was set rolling by an interview by Dr. Ronald Weikl with the Swiss investment banker Pascal Najadi. The Vice of the Medical Workers and Scientists for Health, Freedom and Democracy (MWGFD) spoke with Najadi about his criminal charges against Federal Councillor Alain Berset, whose responsibilities in Bern include the Health Department. As a triple-vaccinated man, the banker feels he has been hoodwinked by Berset and his "false allegations on the Corona issue". Alain Berset had still claimed to SRF on 27 October 2021: "With the certificate you can show that you are not contagious." This was despite the fact that Dr Virginie Masserey, then head of the Infection Control Section, had already made it clear on 3 August 2021: "Covid-19 vaccinated people can spread the coronavirus just as much as unvaccinated people." Dr. Weikl then began to analyse the legal situation in Germany and had it examined whether a similar action could conceivably be taken against Federal Health Minister Karl Lauterbach.

### **'A half-truth that distracts from the whole truth is a whole lie'**

Unlike the Swiss Penal Code, the German equivalent does not know the offence of abuse of office in this form. A lawyer who was not named in the MWGFD press release therefore recommended to Dr. Weikl: "The only law that could be invoked is the Heilmittelwerbegesetz (HWG), which requires truthful information [...] Or, in the interplay of the Infektionsschutzgesetz (IfSG) and the obligation to vaccinate (or the obligation to tolerate, as in the case of [members of the armed forces, for example](#)), possibly the offence of 'coercion in office' Paragraph 240....".

This wording was also found in an MWGFD statement on the interview with Pascal Najadi. The lawyer Wilfried Schmitz, however, saw in it a "grossly false" and "extremely trivialising representation" and felt it was clearly "too lax", which is why he addressed Dr. Weikl directly with an email in which he wrote:

"I can only assume in your favour that they were not legally advised on this issue or were grossly misadvised. If a health minister [deceives the entire public](#), in particular by deliberately misstating that Covid-19 injections are 'free of side effects', contrary to his legal duties, then the most serious criminal offences such as murder must also be examined here, in particular (i.e. not only) the murder characteristic of insidiousness. Furthermore, criminal offences under the AMG (Medicines Act): Finally, in this context, at least (!) the aiding and abetting of the serious criminal offences of third parties, which were supported or made possible by such public false allegations, would have to be examined. His statement that the Covid-19 injections were also 'highly effective' was - as has long been proven - of course also deliberately false. But with the lie of no side effects, it is even easier to prove. For your information, I am enclosing my criminal complaint against those responsible at the PEI, etc. I assume that your association will inform visitors to its homepage more accurately in future. For you should not give the impression of wanting to divert attention from the true criminal guilt of those primarily responsible for the administration of the Covid-19 injections. A half-truth that distracts from the whole truth is a whole lie!"

## **Knowingly acting 'in a hostile direction of will'.**

That is really strong stuff! The MWGFD finally took the "somewhat energetic instruction of the lawyer" as an indication that there do seem to be tangible possibilities to finally take legal steps against "these unspeakable Corona vaccination propagandists". Finally, the association endorsed the view of Wilfried Schmitz and justified this in its statement as follows: "Those who continue to push a vaccination campaign while vaccine damage and deaths are unmistakably skyrocketing, and meanwhile all studies prove the harmfulness of mRNA vaccines, may indeed be guilty of murder."

The criminal complaint filed by the lawyer against Karl Lauterbach and the possible accomplices to the public prosecutor's office in Berlin is dated 16. January 2023 and includes the following charges: Suspicion of dangerous and grievous bodily harm (in office) resulting in death under sections 223, 224, 226, 227, 340 StGB, of manslaughter and murder under sections 212 and 211 StGB, of negligent bodily harm under section 229 StGB, involuntary manslaughter under section 222 of the Criminal Code, all possible criminal offences under sections 94 and 95 of the AMG, as well as all other possible criminal offences and forms of participation under the Criminal Code, the War Weapons Control Act and/or the International Criminal Code.

To justify his accusations, the lawyer first refers to a Youtube video in which several experts comment on a [complaint filed in Switzerland against Swissmedic](#) and explain the context from a professional point of view. In the further course of his letter, Schmitz explains in particular how he arrives at the accusations of manslaughter and murder. The accused Lauterbach had still advocated the introduction of a general "compulsory vaccination" in the first half of 2022. This was despite the fact that at that time data and findings had long been available that would have proven the ineffectiveness and dangerousness of these injections. "Disregarding this attempt to commit the entire population in this country [to a dangerous field trial](#) with a highly experimental, completely new technology, the accused has deceived the entire population with regard to the side effects until at least June 2022 and with regard to the ineffectiveness - as far as is known - until December 2022 and has thus acted downright insidiously," said Schmitz. In view of the data known to him (Lauterbach), it must be assumed that he acted "with hostile intent".

## **Plaintiff sees several characteristics of murder fulfilled**

In addition to the insidiousness of the alleged perpetrator, the guilelessness and defencelessness of the victim(s) constitute further classic murder characteristics. The jurist also sees these as given, not least because of the repeatedly propagated "side-effect-free" and "effective vaccination". Wilfried Schmitz states: "Because of these misleading statements, people in this country who trusted the accused because of the credibility associated with his office, lulled themselves into a sense of security, so that they were not aware of the fact that these Covid-19 injections could amount to a serious attack on their health and (!) their lives."

And further: "This guilelessness has also severely limited people's 'natural ability to defend themselves', since, trusting in these statements by the accused, they have no longer seen

any reason to inform themselves comprehensively about all the side effects that might be considered. Why should they? Because of this deception, they trusted that there would be no side effects at all, at least no serious and long-term ones.

Addressing all legal laymen, Schmitz then explains the common definitions of the murder characteristics he has identified: "Insidious acts are those who, with hostile intent, deliberately exploit the victim's defencelessness to kill. A person is guileless if he does not expect a serious attack and therefore lulls himself into a sense of security. Defenceless is someone who is at least severely limited in his natural ability to defend himself against the concrete attack due to his guilelessness."

Click [here](#) for the full criminal complaint filed by lawyer Wilfried Schmitz against Federal Health Minister Karl Lauterbach and all possible accomplices.

-----